|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| To: | Cabinet |
| Date: | 14 December 2022 |
| Report of: | Scrutiny Committee |
| Title of Report: | **Authority Monitoring Report and Infrastructure Funding Statement 2021/22** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Summary and recommendations | |
| Purpose of report: | To present Scrutiny Committee recommendations for Cabinet consideration and decision |
| Key decision:  Scrutiny Lead Member: | No  Councillor Dr Christopher Smowton, Scrutiny Committee Chair |
| Cabinet Member: | Cllr Alex Hollingsworth, Cabinet Member for Planning and Housing Delivery |
| Corporate Priority: | All |
| Policy Framework: | Council Strategy 2020-24 |
| Recommendation: That the Cabinet states whether it agrees or disagrees with the recommendations in the body of this report. | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Appendices | |
| Appendix A | Draft Cabinet response to recommendations of the Scrutiny Committee |

# Introduction and overview

1. The Scrutiny Committee met on 05 December 2022 to consider a report presenting the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR) and Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS). The report, which is due for Cabinet consideration on 14 December 2022, recommends that Cabinet resolves to approve the AMR and IFS for publication and to authorise the Acting Head of Planning Services (Planning Policy) to make any necessary minor, non-material corrections prior to publication.

# Summary and recommendations

1. The Committee was grateful to Cllr Alex Hollingsworth, to Rachel Nixon, Principal Planner, to Lan Nguyen, Senior Data Analyst, and to Christian Cameron, Data Analyst, for attending to speak to the item and to answer the Committee’s questions.
2. Cllr Hollingsworth introduced the report and explained that the AMR was a statutory report that effectively functioned as a series of performance indicators and that the IFS was, as its name indicated, a statement recording infrastructure funding. The Committee established that details of the key IFS headlines summarised in paragraph 22 of the report were broken down in Appendix 2.
3. During its questioning, the Committee raised the issue of where houses were coming from and established that approximately 10% of new housing came from windfall applications with approximately 80% being a result of site allocation policies. The Committee established that there had not, to date, been a site by site summary setting this out but considered that it would be a useful detail in subsequent AMRs.

***Recommendation 1: That the Council reports in subsequent Annual Monitoring Reports on the amount of housing delivered as a result of site allocation policies and the degree to which those policies have been realised.***
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